Formal Language (3B)

Context Free Language

Copyright (c) 2018 Young W. Lim.

Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2 or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation; with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts, and no Back-Cover Texts. A copy of the license is included in the section entitled "GNU Free Documentation License".

Please send corrections (or suggestions) to youngwlim@hotmail.com.

This document was produced by using LibreOffice and Octave.

Regular Language

a **regular language** (a **rational language**) is a formal language that can be <u>expressed</u> using a **regular expression**, in the <u>strict sense</u>

Alternatively, a regular language can be defined as a language <u>recognized</u> by a **finite automaton**.

The equivalence of **regular expressions** and **finite automata** is known as **Kleene's theorem**.

Regular languages are very useful in input <u>parsing</u> and <u>programming</u> <u>language</u> design.

Regular Language – Formal Definition

The <u>collection</u> of **regular languages** over an **alphabet** Σ is defined <u>recursively</u> as follows:

The empty language \emptyset , and the empty string language $\{\epsilon\}$ are regular languages.

For each $a \in \Sigma$ (a belongs to Σ), the **singleton language** {a} is a **regular language**.

If A and B are regular languages, then $A \cup B$ (union), $A \bullet B$ (concatenation), and A^* (Kleene star) are regular languages.

No other languages over Σ are regular.

See regular expression for its syntax and semantics. Note that the above cases are in effect the defining rules of regular expression.

it is the language of a regular expression (by the above definition)
it is the language <u>accepted</u> by a nondeterministic finite automaton (NFA)
it is the language <u>accepted</u> by a deterministic finite automaton (DFA)
it can be <u>generated</u> by a regular grammar
it is the language <u>accepted</u> by an alternating finite automaton
it can be <u>generated</u> by a prefix grammar
it can be accepted by a read-only Turing machine

All finite languages are regular;

```
in particular the empty string language \{\epsilon\} = \emptyset^* is regular.
```

Other typical examples include the language consisting of **all strings** over the **alphabet** {a, b} which contain an even number of a's, or the language consisting of all strings of the form: several as followed by several b's.

A simple example of a language that is **not regular** is the set of strings { $a^nb^n \mid n \ge 0$ }.

Intuitively, it <u>cannot</u> be recognized with a **finite automaton**, since a **finite automaton** has **finite memory** and it cannot remember the exact number of a's.

In formal language theory, a **context-free language (CFL)** is a language generated by a **context-free grammar (CFG**).

Context-free grammar

<u>Different</u> context-free grammars can generate the <u>same</u> context-free language.

Intrinsic properties of the language can be distinguished from extrinsic properties of a particular grammar by comparing multiple grammars that describe the language.

The set of <u>all</u> **context-free languages** is <u>identical</u> to the set of languages **accepted** by **pushdown automata**, which makes these languages amenable to parsing.

Further, for a given **CFG**, there is a <u>direct</u> way to produce a **pushdown automaton** for the grammar (and thereby the corresponding language), though going the other way is not as direct. (producing a **grammar** given an **automaton**)

A model **context-free language** is $L = \{ a^n b^n : n \ge 1 \}$, the language of all non-empty even-length strings, the entire first halves of which are a's, and the entire second halves of which are b's.

L is generated by the grammar S \rightarrow aSb | ab.

This language is <u>not</u> <u>regular</u>.

Context-free Language Example

It is accepted by the pushdown automaton

```
M = ( \{ q0, q1, qf \}, \{ a, b \}, \{ a, z \}, \delta, q0, z, \{ qf \} )
```

where δ is defined as follows:

 $\begin{array}{l} \delta(\ q0,\ a,\ z\) = (\ q0,\ az\) \\ \delta(\ q0,\ a,\ a\) = (\ q0,\ aa\) \\ \delta(\ q0,\ b,\ a\) = (\ q1,\ \epsilon\) \\ \delta(\ q1,\ b,\ a\) = (\ q1,\ \epsilon\) \\ \delta(\ q1,\ \epsilon,\ z\) = (\ qf,\ \epsilon\) \end{array}$

Unambiguous CFLs are a proper subset of all CFLs: there are inherently **ambiguous** CFLs.

An example of an inherently ambiguous CFL is the union of { $a^n b^m c^m d^n | n, m > 0$ }.

This set is **context-free**, since the **union** of two context-free languages is always context-free.

But there is no way to unambiguously parse strings in the (non-context-free) subset { $a^n b^n c^n d^n | n > 0$ } which is the intersection of these two languages.

References

