# Formal Language (3A)

• Regular Language

Copyright (c) 2018 Young W. Lim.

Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2 or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation; with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts, and no Back-Cover Texts. A copy of the license is included in the section entitled "GNU Free Documentation License".

Please send corrections (or suggestions) to youngwlim@hotmail.com.

This document was produced by using LibreOffice and Octave.

a **formal language** is a set of **strings** of **symbols** together with a set of **rules** that are specific to it.

#### Alphabet and Words

The **alphabet** of a formal language is the **set** of **symbols**, **letters**, or **tokens** from which the **strings** of the language may be formed.

The **strings** formed from this alphabet are called **words** 

the **words** that belong to a particular formal language are sometimes called **well-formed words** or **well-formed formulas**.



# Formal Language

#### A formal language (formation rule)

is often defined by means of

#### a formal grammar

such as a **regular grammar** or **context-free grammar**,

The field of **formal language** theory studies primarily the purely **syntactical aspects** of such languages that is, their internal **structural patterns**.

Formal language theory sprang out of linguistics, as a way of understanding the **syntactic regularities** of **<u>natural languages</u>**.

formalized versions of <u>subsets</u> of <u>natural languages</u> in which the words of the language represent **concepts** that are associated with particular **meanings** or **semantics**.

### Formal Language and Programming Languages

In computer science, formal languages are used among others as the basis for defining the **grammar** of **programming languages** 

### Formal Language and Complexity Theory

In computational **complexity theory**, **decision problems** are typically defined as formal languages, and

**complexity classes** are defined as the sets of the formal languages that can be parsed by machines with limited computational power.

These inputs can be natural numbers, but may also be values of some other kind, such as strings over the binary alphabet {0,1} or over some other finite set of symbols. The subset of strings for which the problem returns "yes" is a formal language, and often decision problems are defined in this way as formal languages.



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Formal\_language https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Decision\_problem In **logic** and the foundations of **mathematics**, formal languages are used to represent the **syntax** of **axiomatic systems**, and **mathematical formalism** is the philosophy that all of mathematics can be reduced to the **syntactic manipulation** of formal languages in this way.

### Alphabet

An **alphabet** can be any set think a **character set** such as ASCII. the elements of an alphabet are called its **letters**. an **infinite** number of elements a **finite** number of elements

A **word** over an **alphabet** can be any finite <u>sequence</u> (i.e., string) of **letters**.

The <u>set</u> of <u>all words</u> over an **alphabet**  $\Sigma$  is usually denoted by  $\Sigma^*$  (using the Kleene star).

The **length** of a word is the number of letters only one word of **length 0**, the **empty word** (e /  $\epsilon$  /  $\lambda$  or even  $\Lambda$ ) By **concatenation** one can combine two words to form a new word

in logic, the **alphabet** is also known as the **vocabulary** and **words** are known as **formulas** or **sentences**;

the **letter/word** metaphor a **word/sentence** metaphor : formal language : logic

Given a set V define

 $V_0 = \{\epsilon\}$  (the language consisting only of the <u>empty string</u>),  $V_1 = V$ 

and define recursively the set

 $V_{i+1} = \{ wv : w \in V_i \text{ and } v \in V \} \text{ for each } i>0.$  $V^* = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_i = \{ \varepsilon \} \cup V \cup V_2 \cup V_3 \cup V_4 \cup \dots \qquad \text{*: zero or more}$  $V^+ = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}} V_i = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3 \cup \dots \qquad \text{+: one or more}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{N}^0 &= \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \\ \mathbb{N}^* &= \mathbb{N}^+ = \mathbb{N}_1 = \mathbb{N}_{>0} = \{1, 2, \dots\}. \end{split}$$

 $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$  $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, \dots\}.$ 

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kleene\_star

Regular Language (3A)

#### Kleene star examples (1)

{"ab","c"}\* = { ε, "ab", "c", "abab", "abc", "cab", "cc", "ababab", "ababc", "abcab", "abcc", "cabab", "cabc", "ccab", "ccc", ...}.

{"a", "b", "c"}+ = { "a", "b", "c", "aa", "ab", "ac", "ba", "bb", "bc", "ca", "cb", "cc", "aaa", "aab", ...}.

{"a", "b", "c"}\* = { ε, "a", "b", "c", "aa", "ab", "ac", "ba", "bb", "bc", "ca", "cb", "cc", "aaa", "aab", ...}.

 $\emptyset^* = \{\epsilon\}.$ 

 $\emptyset$ + =  $\emptyset$ 

 $\emptyset^{\star} = \{ \} = \emptyset,$ 

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kleene\_star

### Kleene star examples (2)

{ab, c}\* = { $\epsilon$ , ab, c, ababa, abc, cab, cc, ababab, ababc, abcab, abcc, cabab, cabc, ccab, ccc, ... } {a, b, c}+ = {a, b, c, aa, ab, ac, ba, bb, bc, ca, cb, cc, aaa, aab, aac, aba, abb, abc, aca, acb, acc, baa, bab, bac, bba, bbb, bbc, bca, bcb, bcc, ... } {a, b, c}\* { $\epsilon$ a, b, c, aa, ab, ac, ba, bb, bc, ca, cb, cc, aaa, ab, ac, ba, bb, bc, ca, cb, cc, aaa, ab, ac, ba, bb, bc, ca, cb, cc, aaa, ab, ac, ba, bb, bc, ca, cb, cc, aaa, aab, aac, aba, abb, abc, aca, acb, acc, baa, bab, bac, bba, bbb, bbc, bca, bcb, bcc, ... }

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kleene\_star

#### Kleene star examples (3)

regular expression ((1\*) 0 (1\*) 0 (1\*))\*,

**(1\*) = {ε**, 1, 11, 111, ...}

	( e )	0	e	0	( e )	
	1		1		1	
1	11	}	11	}	11	}
	111		111		111	
	( : )				( : )	

$00\{e, 1, 11, 111, \cdots\}$	$100 \{e, 1, 11, 111, \cdots\}$	$1100\{e, 1, 11, 111, \cdots\}$	$11100\{e, 1, 11, 111, \cdots\}$
$010\{e, 1, 11, 111, \cdots\}$	$1010\{e, 1, 11, 111, \cdots\}$	$11010\{e, 1, 11, 111, \cdots\}$	$111010\{e, 1, 11, 111, \cdots\}$
0110{ <i>e</i> , 1, 11, 111, …}	$10110\{e, 1, 11, 111, \cdots\}$	$110110\{e, 1, 11, 111, \cdots\}$	$1110110\{e, 1, 11, 111, \cdots\}$
$01110\{e, 1, 11, 111, \cdots\}$	$101110\{e, 1, 11, 111, \cdots\}$	$1101110\{e, 1, 11, 111, \cdots\}$	$11101110\{e, 1, 11, 111, \cdots\}$
:	:	:	:
•	•	•	•

15

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kleene\_star

### **Formal Language Definition**

A formal language L over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  is a subset of  $\Sigma^*$ , that is, <u>a set of words</u> over that alphabet.

Sometimes the sets of **words** are <u>grouped</u> into **expressions**, whereas **rules** and **constraints** may be formulated for the creation of '**well-formed expressions**'.

# Formal Language Examples (1)

The following **rules** describe a **formal language L** over the **alphabet**  $\Sigma = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, +, =\}$ :

- every nonempty string is in L
  - that does <u>not contain</u> "+" or "="
  - · does not start with "0"
- the string "0" is in L.
- a string containing "=" is in L
  - if and only if there is <u>exactly one</u> "=",
  - · and it <u>separates</u> two valid strings of L.
- a string containing "+" but not "=" is in L
  - if and only if <u>every</u> "+" in the string <u>separates</u> two valid strings of **L**.
- no string is in **L** other than those implied by the previous rules.

Under these rules, the string "**23+4=555**" is in L, but the string "**=234=+**" is not.

This formal language expresses

- natural numbers,
- well-formed additions,
- and well-formed addition equalities,

but it expresses only what they look like (their **syntax**), not what they mean (**semantics**).

for instance, nowhere in these rules is there any indication that "0" means the number zero, or that "+" means addition.

## Formal Language Examples (3)

- $L = \Sigma^*$ , the set of all **words** over  $\Sigma$ ;
- $L = {"a"}^* = {"a"}^$ , where n ranges over the natural numbers

and "a"<sup>n</sup> means "a" <u>repeated n</u> <u>times</u>

(this is the set of words consisting only of the symbol "a");

- the set of **syntactically correct** programs in a given programming language (the syntax of which is usually defined by a **context-free grammar**);
- the set of inputs upon which a certain **Turing machine** <u>halts;</u> or
- the set of maximal strings of alphanumeric ASCII characters on this line, i.e., the set {"the", "set", "of", "maximal", "strings", "alphanumeric", "ASCII", "characters", "on", "this", "line", "i", "e"}.

# Formal Language Examples (4)

For instance, a language can be given as

- those strings generated by some formal grammar;
- those strings described or matched by a particular **regular expression**;
- those strings <u>accepted</u> by some automaton,

such as a Turing machine or finite state automaton;

• those **strings** for which some **decision procedure** produces the answer <u>YES</u>.

(an algorithm that asks a sequence of related YES/NO questions)

### Formal Grammar Example

the alphabet consists of **a** and **b**, the start symbol is **S**, the **production rules**:

1.  $S \rightarrow aSb$ 2.  $S \rightarrow ba$ 

then we start with **S**, and can choose a rule to apply to it. Application of rule 1, the string **aSb**. Another application of rule 1, the string **aaSbb**. Application of rule 2, the string **aababb** 

 $S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aaSbb \Rightarrow aababb$ 

The language of the grammar is then the infinite set

 $\{a^nbab^n\mid n\geq 0\}=\{ba,abab,aababb,aaababbb,\ldots\}$ 

### Syntax of Formal Grammars

a grammar G consists of the following components:

- A finite set N of nonterminal symbols, that is <u>disjoint</u> with the strings formed from G.
- A finite set Σ of terminal symbols that is <u>disjoint</u> from N.
- A finite set **P** of **production rules**,

 $(\Sigma\cup N)^*N(\Sigma\cup N)^* o (\Sigma\cup N)^*$ 

 A distinguished symbol S ∈ N that is the start symbol, also called the sentence symbol.

A grammar is formally defined as the tuple (**N** ,**Σ**, **P**, **S**)

often called a rewriting system or a phrase structure grammar

**Terminal symbols** are the **<u>elementary</u> symbols** of the language defined by a formal grammar.

**Nonterminal symbols** (or syntactic <u>variables</u>) are <u>replaced</u> by groups of **terminal symbols** according to the **production rules**.

A formal grammar includes a **start symbol**, a designated member of the set of **nonterminals** from which all the strings in the language may be derived by <u>successive</u> <u>applications</u> of the **production rules**.

In fact, the language defined by a grammar is precisely the <u>set</u> of **terminal strings** that can be so derived.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Terminal\_and\_nonterminal\_symbols

 $(\Sigma\cup N)^*N(\Sigma\cup N)^* o (\Sigma\cup N)^*$ 

Head  $\rightarrow$  Body

- each **production rule** <u>maps</u> from one string of symbols to another
- the first string (the "head") contains
  - an arbitrary number of symbols
  - provided <u>at least one</u> of them is a **nonterminal**. **N**
- If the second string (the "body") consists solely of the empty string
  - i.e., that it contains no symbols at all
  - it may be denoted with a special notation ( $\Lambda$ , e or  $\epsilon$ )

Consider the grammar **G** where  $N = \{ S, B \}$ ,  $\Sigma = \{ a, b, c \}$ , **S** is the start symbol, and **P** consists of the following production rules:

1.  $S \rightarrow aBSC$ 2.  $S \rightarrow abc$ 3.  $Ba \rightarrow aB$ 4.  $Bb \rightarrow bb$ 

This grammar defines the language  $L(G) = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \ge 1\}$ where  $a^n$  denotes a string of n consecutive a's.

Thus, the language is the set of strings that consist of **1** or more **a**'s, followed by the same number of **b**'s, followed by the same number of **c**'s.

### Grammar Examples (2)

$$S \underset{2}{\Rightarrow} abc$$

$$1. S \rightarrow aBSc$$

$$2. S \rightarrow abc$$

$$3. Ba \rightarrow aB$$

$$4. Bb \rightarrow bb$$

 $\Rightarrow aBabcc$  $\Rightarrow aaBbcc$  $3 \Rightarrow aabbcc$  $4 \Rightarrow aabbcc$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} S \underset{1}{\Rightarrow} aBSc \underset{1}{\Rightarrow} aBaBScc \\ \underset{2}{\Rightarrow} aBaBabccc \\ \underset{3}{\Rightarrow} aaBBabccc \underset{3}{\Rightarrow} aaBaBbbccc \underset{3}{\Rightarrow} aaaBbbbccc \\ \underset{4}{\Rightarrow} aaaBbbccc \underset{4}{\Rightarrow} aaabbbbccc \end{array}$$

Context-free grammars are those grammars in which the <u>left-hand side</u> of each **production rule** consists of <u>only a single</u> **nonterminal symbol**.

This restriction is non-trivial; not all languages can be generated by context-free grammars.

 $\begin{array}{l} S \ \rightarrow \ aSb \\ S \ \rightarrow \ ba \end{array}$ 

Those that can are called **context-free languages**.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Terminal\_and\_nonterminal\_symbols

```
The language L(G) = \{a^nb^nc^n \mid n \ge 1\} is <u>not</u> a context-free language
the grammar G
where N = \{S, B\}, \Sigma = \{a, b, c\}, S is the start symbol,
and P consists of the following production rules:
1. S \rightarrow aBSc
2. S \rightarrow abc
3. Ba \rightarrow aB
4. Bb \rightarrow bb
```

```
The language \{a^nb^n \mid n \ge 1\} is context-free
(at least 1 a followed by the same number of b)
the grammar G2 with N = \{S\}, \Sigma = \{a, b\}, S the start symbol,
and P the following production rules:
1. S \rightarrow a S b
2. S \rightarrow a b
```

.at	matches any three-character string ending with "at", including "hat", "cat", and "bat".
[hc]at [^b]at [^hc]at ^[hc]at line.	matches "hat" and "cat". matches all strings matched by .at except "bat".
[hc]at\$ \[.\]	matches "hat" and "cat", but only at the end of the string or line. matches any single character surrounded by "[" and "]" since the brackets are escaped, for example: "[a]" and "[b]".
S.*	matches s followed by zero or more characters, for example: "s" and "saw" and "seed".
[hc]?at [hc]*at	matches "at", "hat", and "cat". matches "at", "hat", "cat", "hhat", "chat", "hcat", "cchchat",

[hc]+at matches "hat", "cat", "hhat", "chat", "hcat", "cchchat",..., but not "at". cat|dog matches "cat" or "dog".

# Chomsky's four types of grammars

Grammar	Languages	Automaton	Production rules (constraints)*	
Туре-0	Recursively enumerable	Turing machine	lpha  o eta (no restrictions)	
Туре-1	Context- sensitive	Linear-bounded non- deterministic Turing machine	$lpha Aeta  o lpha \gammaeta$	
Туре-2	Context-free	Non-deterministic pushdown automaton	$A  ightarrow \gamma$	
Туре-3	Regular	Finite state automaton	$egin{array}{c} A  ightarrow {f a} \ {f a} \ {f a} \ A  ightarrow {f a} B \ A  ightarrow {f a} B \end{array}$	
* Meaning of symbols: a = terminal $\alpha = string of terminals, non-terminals, or empty$ $\beta = string of terminals, non-terminals, or empty$ $\gamma = string of terminals, non-terminals, never empty$ A = non-terminal B = non-terminal				

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chomsky\_hierarchy

#### Regular Language (3A)

#### **Unrestricted grammar**

Type-0 grammars include all formal grammars.

They generate exactly all languages that can be <u>recognized</u> by a **Turing machine**.

These languages are also known as the **recursively enumerable** or **Turing-recognizable languages**.

Note that this is <u>different</u> from the **recursive languages**, which can be decided by an **always-halting Turing machine**.

#### **Context-sensitive grammar**

Type-1 grammars generate the **context-sensitive languages**.

These grammars have rules of the form  $\alpha \land \beta \rightarrow \alpha \lor \beta$  with  $\land a$  **nonterminal** and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  strings of **terminals** and/or **nonterminals**.

The strings  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  may be <u>empty</u>, but  $\gamma$  must be <u>nonempty</u>.

The rule  $S \rightarrow \epsilon$  is allowed if S does not appear on the right side of any rule.

The languages described by these grammars are exactly all languages that can be <u>recognized</u> by a **linear bounded automaton** (a **nondeterministic** Turing machine whose tape is bounded by a constant times the length of the input.)

#### **Context-free grammar**

Type-2 grammars generate the context-free languages.

These are defined by rules of the form  $A \rightarrow \gamma$ with A being a nonterminal and  $\gamma$  being a string of terminals and/or nonterminals.

These languages are exactly all languages that can be recognized by a **non-deterministic pushdown automaton.** 

Context-free languages—or rather its subset of deterministic context-free language—are the theoretical basis for the phrase structure of most **programming languages**, though their syntax also includes context-sensitive name resolution due to declarations and scope.

Often a subset of grammars is used to make parsing easier, such as by an LL parser.

#### **Regular grammar**

Type-3 grammars generate the regular languages.

restricts its rules to a single nonterminal on the left-hand side

a **right**-hand side consisting of a <u>single</u> **terminal**, possibly <u>followed</u> by a <u>single</u> **nonterminal** (**right regular**).

the **right**-hand side consisting of a <u>single</u> **terminal**, possibly <u>preceded</u> by a <u>single</u> **nonterminal** (**left regular**).

Right regular and left regular generate the same languages.

However, if left-regular rules and right-regular rules are combined, the language need no longer be regular.

The rule  $S \rightarrow \varepsilon$  is also allowed here if S does not appear on the right side of any rule.

These languages are exactly all languages that can be decided by a **finite state automaton**.

Additionally, this family of formal languages can be obtained by **regular expressions**.

Regular languages are commonly used to define search patterns and the lexical structure of programming languages.

#### **Class of Automata**



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Automata\_theory

#### **Chomsky Hierarchy**



### **Class of Automata**

Finite State Machine (FSM)	Regular Language	
Pushdown Automaton (PDA)	Context-Free Language	
Turing Machine	Recursively Enumerable Language	

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Automata\_theory

### **Regular Language**

a **regular language** (a **rational language**) is a formal language that can be <u>expressed</u> using a **regular expression**, in the <u>strict sense</u>

Alternatively, a regular language can be defined as a language <u>recognized</u> by a **finite automaton**.

The equivalence of **regular expressions** and **finite automata** is known as **Kleene's theorem**.

**Regular languages** are very useful in input <u>parsing</u> and <u>programming</u> <u>language</u> design.

## **Regular Language – Formal Definition**

The <u>collection</u> of **regular languages** over an **alphabet**  $\Sigma$  is defined <u>recursively</u> as follows:

The empty language  $\emptyset$ , and the empty string language  $\{\epsilon\}$  are regular languages.

For each  $a \in \Sigma$  (a belongs to  $\Sigma$ ), the **singleton language** {a} is a **regular language**.

If A and B are regular languages, then  $A \cup B$  (union),  $A \bullet B$  (concatenation), and  $A^*$  (Kleene star) are regular languages.

No other languages over  $\Sigma$  are regular.

See regular expression for its syntax and semantics. Note that the above cases are in effect the defining rules of regular expression.

it is the language of a regular expression (by the above definition)
 it is the language <u>accepted</u> by a nondeterministic finite automaton (NFA)
 it is the language <u>accepted</u> by a deterministic finite automaton (DFA)
 it can be <u>generated</u> by a regular grammar
 it is the language <u>accepted</u> by an alternating finite automaton
 it can be <u>generated</u> by a prefix grammar
 it can be accepted by a read-only Turing machine

All finite languages are regular;

```
in particular the empty string language \{\epsilon\} = \emptyset^* is regular.
```

Other typical examples include the language consisting of **all strings** over the **alphabet** {a, b} which contain an even number of a's, or the language consisting of all strings of the form: several as followed by several b's.

A simple example of a language that is **not regular** is the set of strings {  $a^nb^n \mid n \ge 0$  }.

Intuitively, it <u>cannot</u> be recognized with a **finite automaton**, since a **finite automaton** has **finite memory** and it cannot remember the exact number of a's.

#### References

